

White Pine Republican Party Central Committee

P.O. Box 150864, Ely, NV 89315
November __, 2015

To: White Pine County District Court
801 Clark Street, Suite 4
Ely, Nevada 89301

Subject: Administrative Petition to Open and Review Certain White Pine County Election Records Per NRS293.391.5

As allowed by NRS 293.391.5 the White Pine County Republican Party is hereby contesting the reported election results of the White Pine County 2014 Republican Primary race for Congressional District 4. We are requesting the Court to grant permission to the White Pine County Clerk and White Pine Republican Party Review Team to open and review sealed election records for the purpose of identifying possible causes of unreasonable vote tallies certified/reported in the aforementioned CD4 race.

This request concerns an urgent need to learn how Congressional District 4 candidate Mike Monroe (official photo on the right), an unknown, penniless, homeless, unkempt Las Vegas street person was able to fairly receive 259 votes in the White Pine Republican Primary over Crescent Hardy's 249 votes and Niger Innis' 256 votes. We also should consider that this man reportedly received 30 votes vs. 33 for Hardy and 37 for Innis in just Ely Precinct #1. Some leading Republican residents of Precinct #1 have claimed Mr. Monroe could not have received even 1 serious or "protest vote" in that area.



Our White Pine Republican Party has the proper standing to request this review under NRS 293.391.5. We also have the compelling public obligation to find out the truth on this urgent matter and to work with our government to help prevent future reoccurrences.

Enclosed exhibits contain the key documents reporting on why our multi-disciplined research team believes the 2014 Republican Party Primary Election materials must be opened and researched. We are asking that the mysterious results be investigated by jointly reviewing the sealed election records and action taken to repair any defects or vulnerabilities within the election system. Otherwise, candidates, financial contributors, campaign workers, and the voting public will lose trust in future election reports.

The included evidence fully supports our claim it is unreasonable to accept any generic argument that such a homeless/penniless candidate for Congress was qualified for, or capable of, receiving 22% of the White Pine County Republican votes where he (1) did not participate in or conduct any campaign events in White Pine or any other county, (2) never visited White Pine County during the campaign, (3) had no campaign funds, (4) never distributed any election materials, signage or web site info, (5) had no grassroots organizing program, (6) had no photo placed on the CD4 Primary Ballot, and (7) never filed mandatory State and Federal election reports. In addition, a professional phone survey of actual Republican voters in that primary found no voters who had heard of him or voted for him in protest.

CD4-wide, Mr. Monroe reportedly received 5,384 votes out of the 24,275 cast, and the difference between 1st and 2nd place in the primary was 2,309 votes. The 5,000+ votes for the

unknown candidate could have changed the CD4 election outcome. The other unknown/low effort candidate in that Republican primary received only 522 votes. So, political science arguments heard about possible “protest votes” must be considered unjustified in this case. The two unknowns should have had somewhat similar tallies. Also, Republican primary voters are not known to cast “none of the above-like” votes in important Congressional races.

After all our extensive research, it seems clear there was a previously undetected electronic systems malfunction at the county and/or state levels, or the election system was electronically hacked and/or corrupted in external or internal ways to produce such bizarre results. The review of sealed records is vitally needed to be able to answer the critical questions.

Our requested opening of certain sealed records and subsequent public review under the supervision of the County and District Court officials during a one-week period is reasonable, practical and straight-forward. Our joint review team includes White Pine Republican Central Committee members with experienced representatives of the non-profit/nonpartisan/volunteer Citizens task Force for Voter Rights. We will team with the County Clerk to minimize efforts and costs while opening sealed election records and comparing paper ballots to the final voter results sent to White Pine County Elections and the Secretary of State. Individual voter privacy will be appropriately protected and the professional conduct of all participants is assured.

To expedite the administrative processes, we have attached Stipulation and Order statements whereby the White Pine Republican Central Committee, the White Pine District Attorney, and the White Pine County Clerk have enthusiastically agreed to fully support this review. We have common objectives for seeking the whole truth and working together on behalf of White Pine citizens to ensure the county operates a trustworthy and transparent election system.

Finally, we are not expecting difficulties with receiving court approval for this request. The intended purpose for requiring all counties to absorb the costs of storing such sealed election materials for 22 months between elections is to enable such legitimate reviews. We are looking forward to receiving the Court’s approval to quickly complete this joint effort in the near future.

There can be no specific remedy anticipated at this time. We expect to work harmoniously with election system officials to seek the facts and identify possible actions. When appropriate, we will help implement increase safeguards and procedures to help protect the integrity and accuracy of the votes of our citizens from the time they cast their votes to the time the combined results are certified and reported in public.

Thank you in advance for your support of this vital effort to help protect the integrity and trustworthiness of our precious American election system.

Respectfully yours,

Signer

Signer

Signer

Signer

(List of Exhibits and Stipulations)